

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/550,154	09/20/2005	Margaretha Grind	ASZD-P01-022	1056	
9629	7590 07/11/2006		EXAMINER		
MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP			KHANNA,	KHANNA, HEMANT	
	ON, DC 20004	,	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		1654		
			DATE MAILED: 07/11/200	DATE MAILED: 07/11/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/550,154	GRIND, MARGAI	GRIND, MARGARETHA			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Hemant Khanna	1654				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPORTED IN CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ansions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state to receive the material part of the material part	DATE OF THIS COM 1.136(a). In no event, however od will apply and will expire SIX tute, cause the application to be	MUNICATION. The may a reply be timely filed (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20	Sentember 2005					
		his action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
٠,١	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
	· _						
-	 ✓ Claim(s) 14,18-27,30-40 and 45-53 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
· · · · ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
'=	Claim(s) <u>14,18-27,30-40,45-53</u> are subject t	o restriction and/or ele	ction requirement				
		o restriction and/or ele	ction requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Attachmen		_	•				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		erview Summary (PTO-413) per No(s)/Mail Date				
3) 🔲 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 r No(s)/Mail Date	08) 5) 🔲 No	ice of Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 14, 16, 18-21, are drawn to a cholesterol-lowering method comprising the administration of melagatran.

Group II, claim(s) 22-26, and 45, drawn to a cholesterol-lowering method comprising the administration of a thrombin inhibitor.

Group III, claim(s) 27, 30-41, and 46-53, drawn to a combination product comprising a low-molecular weight thrombin inhibitor and another cholesterol-lowering therapeutic agent.

2. The inventions listed as Groups I-III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The MPEP states if an independent claim does not avoid the prior art, then the question whether there is still an inventive link between all the claims dependent on that claim needs to be carefully considered. Here the shared technical feature in independent claims 14, 22, and 27 (combination of low molecular weight thrombin inhibitor and cholesterol-lowering therapeutic agent) is not free of the prior art.

Art Unit: 1654

Together, Antonsson et al. (WO 1997/23499) and Gould et al. (WO 1998/11896) render obvious the claimed invention. While Antonsson et al. provide compounds of the formula R¹O(O)C-CH₂-(R)Cgl-Aze-Pab-R², as inhibitors of thrombin, Gould et al. provide the use of cholesterol lowering agents in combination with thrombin inhibitors for reducing the occurrence of cardiovascular events.

3. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

The compounds of general formula R¹O(O)C-CH₂-(R)Cgl-Aze-Pab-R² in claims 19-20, 32-33 46-49, comprising of varying R¹ residues;

The thrombin inhibitors of formulas 1 and Ia in claims 22, 25, 35, 38, comprising of varying R^a, R¹, R², Y, R³, R⁴, R⁵, R⁶, R⁷ and X residues, not specifically recited in the claims.

The thrombin inhibitors and prodrugs in claims 23, 26, 36, 39, 50-53.

Other cholesterol-lowering therapeutic agents in claim 41, 47, 49, 52-53.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the shared technical feature in independent claims 14, 22, and 27 (combination of low molecular weight thrombin inhibitor and cholesterol-lowering therapeutic agent) is not free of the prior art.

Together, Antonsson et al. (WO 1997/23499) and Gould et al. (WO 1998/11896) render obvious the claimed invention. While Antonsson et al. provide compounds of the formula R¹O(O)C-CH₂-(R)Cgl-Aze-Pab-R², as inhibitors of thrombin, Gould et al. provide the use of cholesterol lowering agents in combination with thrombin inhibitors for reducing the occurrence of cardiovascular events.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species each of a low molecular weight thrombin inhibitor and a cholesterol-lowering therapeutic agent to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. In essence, all of the varying residues should be defined by the elected species. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Notice of Possible Rejoinder

4. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are

Art Unit: 1654

subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

All claims directed a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hemant Khanna whose telephone number is (571) 272-

Art Unit: 1654

9045. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 7:30 am-4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cecilia Tsang can be reached on (571) 272-0562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

HK 1 June, 2006